

240(8): Precession due to Nutation of Earth.

This is an example of a standard calculation in astronomy. We will to examine the effect on this calculation of EGR. The standard potential energy is Eq. (8.74) of R. Fitzpatrick, "Introduction to Celestial Mechanics" (C.U.P. 2012 and online):

$$\phi = -\frac{mM_G}{r} + \frac{M_G(I_{11} - I_{11})}{2r^3} \left(\frac{3}{2} \sin^2 \theta - 1 \right)$$

where m is the mass of the earth, M is the mass of the sun, G the Newton constant, r the distance between earth and sun, and:

$$\theta = 23.44^\circ \quad (2)$$

The moments of inertia I_{11} and I_{11} are:

$$I_{11} = 8.034 \times 10^{37} \text{ kg m}^2 \quad (3)$$

$$I_{11} = 8.008 \times 10^{37} \text{ kg m}^2 \quad (4)$$

because the earth is a symmetric top (K. Lambeck, "The Earth's Variable Rotation" (C.U.P. 1980).

The force from eq. (1) is:

$$F = -\frac{d\phi}{dr} = -\frac{k}{r^2} - \frac{c}{r^4} \quad (5)$$

where:

2)

$$k = \frac{mg}{2}, \quad - (6)$$

$$E = \frac{-3mg}{2} (I_{||} - I_{\perp}) \left(\frac{3}{2} \cos^2 \theta - 1 \right) \quad - (7)$$

From note 240(2), eq. (32), the perihelion precession is:

$$\Delta \theta = \frac{2\pi E}{k r^2} \quad - (8)$$

i.e.

$$\Delta \theta = -6\pi \frac{(I_{||} - I_{\perp})}{mr^2} \left(\frac{3}{2} \cos^2 \theta - 1 \right) \quad - (9)$$

For every revolution of 2π (or year) of earth's orbit moves backward by this amount. i.e.

$$\Delta \theta = -9.72 \times 10^{-12} \text{ radians per year} \quad - (10)$$

Now use:

$$1 \text{ radian} = 2.06265 \times 10^5 \text{ arc seconds} \quad - (11)$$

$$\text{So } \Delta \theta = -2.0 \times 10^{-4} \text{ arc seconds per century} \quad - (12)$$

Every century the earth's orbit moves backward by 2.0×10^{-4} arc seconds per century. Because it is not a perfect sphere.

3) Note carefully that eqs. (1) and (8) are entirely standard equations found in a text book such as Fitzpatrick. They represent a Newtonian calculation.

However, if Einsteinian general relativity (EGR) is to be taken seriously the starting equation (1) is changed to:

$$\phi = -\frac{mM\bar{G}}{r} - \frac{L_0^2 M \bar{G}}{2c^2 r^3} + \frac{M \bar{G} (I_{11} - I_{11})}{2r^3} \left(\frac{3}{2} \sin^2 \theta - 1 \right) \quad - (13)$$

+ relativistic correction of the quadrupole term

For the sake of simplicity assume that the quadrupole corrections are small, so:

$$F = -\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r} = -\frac{mM\bar{G}}{r^2} - \frac{3L_0^2 M \bar{G}}{2c^2 r^4} - \frac{3M \bar{G} (I_{11} - I_{11})}{2r^3} \left(\frac{3}{2} \sin^2 \theta - 1 \right) \quad - (14)$$

where

$$L_0^2 = dm^2 M \bar{G} \quad - (15)$$

This means that eq. (9) is changed to:

$$\Delta \theta = 6\pi \left(\frac{d M \bar{G}}{c^2 r^2} - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{I_{11} - I_{11}}{mr^2} \right) \left(\frac{3}{2} \cos^2 \theta - 1 \right) \right)$$

- (16)

4) The earth's orbit is nearly circular, so:

where d is the half right latitude. So the relativistic correction is:

$$\Delta\theta = \frac{6\pi MG}{c^2 r} \quad - (17)$$

$$= 3\pi \frac{r_0}{r}$$

where

$$r_0 = \frac{2MG}{c^2} = 2.950 \times 10^3 \text{ metres}$$

$$r = 1.49 \times 10^{11} \text{ metres}$$

$$v = 5.97 \times 10^{24}$$

$$\text{so } \Delta\theta = \frac{3\pi \times 2.95 \times 10^3}{1.49 \times 10^{11}} \quad - (19)$$

$$= 1.87 \times 10^{-7} \text{ radians per year}$$

$$= 3.86 \text{ arc seconds per century.}$$

The relativistic correction is about four orders of magnitude greater than the effect due to the quadrupole term and in the opposite direction.

This is complete disaster for EGR

5) Because it gives a wildly erroneous result. As discussed by Fitzpatrick, eq. (1) give a precession period normal to the ecliptic plane of 79,200 years, and when corrected for the Moon gives 27,600 years, which compares to the experimental value of 25,800 years.

EBR would change this by roughly four orders of magnitude, and is in the wrong direction.

This is an absurd result which immediately refutes EBR. It is clear that standard

astronomy has applied EBR only to one phenomenon, the precession of the perihelion caused by:

$$\phi = -\frac{mM\dot{G}}{r} - \frac{L_0^2 M \dot{G}}{m^2 c^2 r^3} \quad - (20)$$

but has not applied EBR to any other phenomenon. For all other phenomena it has always used the Newtonian:

$$\phi = -\frac{mM\dot{G}}{r} \quad - (21)$$