

398(1): Computation of the Veld Spii Corrections

In general, it has been shown by computer algebra in UFT 397 that the 'intrinsically averaged' change in a scalar function f due to the vacuum fluctuation δr is:

$$\langle \Delta f \rangle = \langle \Delta f \rangle^{(2)} + \langle \Delta f \rangle^{(4)} + \langle \Delta f \rangle^{(6)} + \dots - (1)$$

In Cartesian coordinates:

$$\langle \Delta f \rangle^{(2)} = \frac{1}{6} \langle \delta r \cdot \delta r \rangle \left(\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial z^2} \right) - (2)$$

$$\langle \Delta f \rangle^{(4)} = \frac{1}{216} \langle (\delta r \cdot \delta r)^2 \rangle \left(\frac{\partial^4 f}{\partial x^4} + \frac{\partial^4 f}{\partial y^4} + \frac{\partial^4 f}{\partial z^4} + 6 \left(\frac{\partial^4 f}{\partial y^2 \partial z^2} + \frac{\partial^4 f}{\partial x^2 \partial z^2} + \frac{\partial^4 f}{\partial x^2 \partial y^2} \right) \right) - (3)$$

$$\langle \Delta f \rangle^{(6)} = \frac{\langle (\delta r \cdot \delta r)^3 \rangle}{19440} \left(\frac{\partial^6 f}{\partial x^6} + \frac{\partial^6 f}{\partial y^6} + \frac{\partial^6 f}{\partial z^6} + 15 \left(\frac{\partial^6 f}{\partial y^4 \partial z^2} + \frac{\partial^6 f}{\partial y^2 \partial z^4} + \frac{\partial^6 f}{\partial x^4 \partial z^2} + \frac{\partial^6 f}{\partial x^2 \partial z^4} + \frac{\partial^6 f}{\partial x^2 \partial y^4} + \frac{\partial^6 f}{\partial x^2 \partial y^2 \partial z^2} \right) + 90 \frac{\partial^6 f}{\partial x^2 \partial y^2 \partial z^2} \right) - (4)$$

When f is the Coulomb potential between the electron and proton in the H atom;

$$f = -\frac{e^2}{4\pi \epsilon_0 r} - (5)$$

Eq. (1) produces higher order corrections to the Lamb shift.

2) Eq. (1) can be used to compute the EED spin correction for the electric field strength \underline{E} in volts m^{-1} , and the magnetic flux density \underline{B} in Tesla.

For the electric field strength:

$$\langle \Delta \underline{E}_0 \rangle = \underline{E}(\text{vac}) \quad - (6)$$

$$= \langle \Delta E_{x0} \rangle \underline{i} + \langle \Delta E_{y0} \rangle \underline{j} + \langle \Delta E_{z0} \rangle \underline{k}$$

where $\underline{\omega}$ is the vacuum spin correction or "vacuum mag," and also

$$\phi_0 = -\frac{e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0 r} \quad - (7)$$

with

$$r = (x^2 + y^2 + z^2)^{1/2} \quad - (8)$$

From eq. (1):

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Delta E_{x0} \rangle &= \langle \Delta E_{x0} \rangle^{(2)} + \langle \Delta E_{x0} \rangle^{(4)} + \langle \Delta E_{x0} \rangle^{(6)} + \dots \\ \langle \Delta E_{y0} \rangle &= \langle \Delta E_{y0} \rangle^{(2)} + \langle \Delta E_{y0} \rangle^{(4)} + \langle \Delta E_{y0} \rangle^{(6)} + \dots \\ \langle \Delta E_{z0} \rangle &= \langle \Delta E_{z0} \rangle^{(2)} + \langle \Delta E_{z0} \rangle^{(4)} + \langle \Delta E_{z0} \rangle^{(6)} + \dots \end{aligned} \quad - (9)$$

Here:

$$\underline{E}_0 = -\frac{e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{\underline{r}}{r^3} \quad - (10)$$

is the Coulombic electric field strength in the hypothesis
absence of vacuum:

$$\underline{E}_0 = -\underline{\nabla} \phi_0 \quad - (11)$$

From eq. (10):

$$E_{0x} = -\frac{e}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{x}{(x^2 + y^2 + z^2)^{3/2}} \quad (12)$$

and similarly for E_{0y} and E_{0z} .

Therefore the components of the electric spin current vector can be computed from eqs. (6) - (10).

Similarly, the magnetic spin current vector can be computed from:

$$\langle \Delta \underline{B}_0 \rangle = \underline{B}(\text{vac}) = -\underline{\omega} \times \frac{\underline{A}_0}{A_0} \quad (13)$$

where A_0 is a vector potential. For example if \underline{A}_0 is the dipole potential:

$$\underline{A}_0 = \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \frac{\underline{m} \times \underline{r}}{r^3} \quad (14)$$

then:

$$\underline{B}_0 = \nabla \times \underline{A}_0 = \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \left[\frac{1}{r^3} 3\underline{m} \cdot \frac{\underline{r}\underline{r}}{r^2} - \frac{\underline{m}}{r^3} \left(1 + r^2 \nabla^2 \left(\frac{1}{r} \right) \right) \right] \quad (15)$$

This expression simplifies if it is assumed that:

$$\nabla^2 \left(\frac{1}{r} \right) = 0, \quad (16)$$

which is the result of vector analysis.

However, at the quantum level:

$$\nabla^2 \left(\frac{1}{r} \right) = -4\pi \delta(\underline{r}) \quad (17)$$

where $\delta(\underline{r})$ is the Dirac delta function.

The averages $\langle \underline{S}_i \cdot \underline{S}_i \rangle$, $\langle (\underline{S}_i \cdot \underline{S}_i)(\underline{S}_i \cdot \underline{S}_i) \rangle$ and $\langle (\underline{S}_i \cdot \underline{S}_i)^3 \rangle$ must be evaluated with many-body theory, this is developed in the next note.